UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/534,516	10/10/2006	Bodo W. Lambertz	DD-25009	DD-25009 5094	
2387 Olson & Cepu	7590 12/11/2007		EXAMINER		
20 NORTH WACKER DRIVE			SOLD, JENA A		
36TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			3765		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•			me		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/534,516	LAMBERTZ, BODO	W.		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jena A. Sold	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the followalces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing date.).	of the fee. The appropri pinally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, 6	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extermination and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will not be entered b	ecause		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		liant Amandment	(DTOL 224)		
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	movable ii babiiii	,,,			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w ovided below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-8,10-15 and 17-19</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected. 7,3-9,70-70 and 77-79. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been claim 1 has been amended and requires further considered.		in condition for allowa	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
13. 🗌 Other:					

/Alissa L. Hoey/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3765

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitation of amended claim 1 "both the spacer cushion pads and the transition piece extending outwardly from the outer surface of the sock" raises new issues and, thus, requires a new search.